UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/787,059	02/25/2004	David M. Shaver	0275U-000673	9530
	7590 10/18/200 CKEY & PIERCE, P.L	EXAM	IINER .	
P.O. BOX 828			REDDING, DAVID A	
BLOOMFIELL	HILLS, MI 48303		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
·			3723	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			10/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	T					
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/787,059	SHAVER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David A. Redding	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO e, cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	•					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 J	<u>uly 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>1-18</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>19 and 21-31</u> is/are rejected.	•					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>20</u> is/are objected to.	·					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Application Papers		•				
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>25 February 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	•					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in rity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date See Continuation Sheet	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application 				

Continuation of Attachment(s) 3). Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08), Paper No(s)/Mail Date :4/12/04; 9/23/04; 6/27/04, 9/14/04.

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of claims 19-31 in the reply filed on 7/31/07 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21-27 recites the limitation "a latch" in claim 19. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 3723

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claims 19,28-31, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over USP 2,030,367 (Grave) in view of "Metro Vac N' Blo" and USP 4,405,158 to Huberman (Huberman).

Grave discloses a portable vacuum cleaner comprising an inlet housing (lowermost of figure 1) in which dirt is received, releasabley coupled to an outlet housing, the outlet housing having a handle 23, an intake 25, and exhaust outlet 9, a fan assembly 26. The exhaust outlet is disclosed as being used to direct air blasts on objects to be cleaned (col. 1, lines 53-55). The reference does not show a hose or plurality of inflator nozzles. The web page advertisement for the "Metro Vac N' Blo" shows a vacuum having an vacuum inlet for dust on one end and an exhaust outlet on the other end, a flexible vacuum hose for connection to the inlet or outlet and a plurality (3-4) of various sized inflator nozzles to be connected to the end of the hose.

Recognizing that the exhaust outlet of a vacuum can be used with a flexible hose and inflator nozzles to inflate inflatable objects as disclosed in the web page, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art that the hose and nozzles described in the web page could be used with the vacuum in Grave for inflating inflatables.

Grave nor the web page disclose inflator nozzles with an aperture. Huberman discloses an inflator nozzle having an aperture 57 in the sidewall for holding an adapter 59 for the nozzle.

Art Unit: 3723

Accordingly, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to use the nozzle in Huberman with the combined vacuum/inflator in Grave in view of the nozzles known use as diclosed in Huberman.

Claims 28-30 specify a size and shape of the aperture. In Gardner v. TEC Systems, Inc., 725 F.2d 1338, 220 USPQ 777 (Fed. Cir. 1984),cert. denied, 469 U.S. 830, 225 USPQ 232 (1984), the Federal Circuit held that,where the only difference between the prior art and the claims was a recitation of relative dimensions of the claimed device and a device having the claimed relative dimensions would not perform differently than the prior art device, the claimed device was not patentably distinct from the prior art device. Accordingly, the dimensions specified are considered to be obvious in view of Huberman.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 21-27 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statement filed 4/12/04 fails to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each cited foreign patent document; each non-patent literature publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that portion which caused it to be listed. It has been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The remaining US patents cited are considered to be generally related.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David A. Redding whose telephone number is 571-272-1276. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 6:00 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph Hail can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 3723

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/ David Redding / Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

DAR